

JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

THOMAS PAOLICEELI

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff _____

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)

Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.30 E. Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002
(215) 540-8888**DEFENDANTS**

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) |

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Citizen of This State	PTF	DEF	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	PTF	DEF
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	PROPERTY RIGHTS	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment
<input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane	<input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	<input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust
<input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	<input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking
<input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument	<input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	<input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce	
<input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	<input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud	<input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation	
<input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
<input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	<input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage	<input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit	
<input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	<input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle	<input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV	
<input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits	<input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle	<input type="checkbox"/> 390 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service	
<input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
<input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 411 Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	<input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
<input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	<input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation	<input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
<input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure	<input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare	<input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation	<input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters	
<input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	<input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act	
<input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land	<input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff)	<input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act	
<input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923)	<input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	
<input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	<input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	Habeas Corpus:	<input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 530 General	<input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty	FEDERAL TAX SUITS		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition	IMMIGRATION		
		<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application		
		<input type="checkbox"/> 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee		
		<input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions		

V. ORIGIN	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)				
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from another district	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
	15 U.S.C SECTION 1692

Brief description of cause:
Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	<input type="checkbox"/> CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	--	-----------	--

VIII. RELATED CASE(S)	(See instructions):	JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER
-----------------------	---------------------	-------	---------------

Explanation:

9-8-11

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

DATE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Thomas Paolicelli : CIVIL ACTION
v. :
NCO Financial Systems, Inc. : NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()
- (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
- (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (X)
- (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()
- (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ()
- (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. ()

9-8-11
Date
215-5410-8888

Craig Therkimbel
Attorney-at-law
877-788-2864

Thomas Paolicelli
Attorney for
Kimmel@creditlaw.com

Telephone

FAX Number

E-Mail Address

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 81 Rubina St, Port Charlotte, FL 33034

Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Road, Horsham PA 19044

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:

(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)

Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?

(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))

Yes No

Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?

Yes No

RELATED CASE, IF ANY:

Case Number: _____ Judge _____ Date Terminated: _____

Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:

1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?

Yes No

2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?

Yes No

3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?

Yes No

4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?

Yes No

CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)

A. *Federal Question Cases:*

1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts

2. FELA

3. Jones Act-Personal Injury

4. Antitrust

5. Patent

6. Labor-Management Relations

7. Civil Rights

8. Habeas Corpus

9. Securities Act(s) Cases

10. Social Security Review Cases

11. All other Federal Question Cases

(Please specify)

Craig Therkimbel

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

(Check Appropriate Category)

I, Craig Therkimbel, counsel of record do hereby certify:

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;

Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: 9-8-11

Craig Therkimbel
Attorney-at-Law

(Please specify)

57100

Attorney I.D.#

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 9-8-11

Craig Therkimbel
Attorney-at-Law

57100

Attorney I.D.#

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

THOMAS PAOLICELLI,)
Plaintiff)
v.)
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,) Case No.:
Defendant)
)
) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
) JURY TRIAL
)
) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

COMPLAINT

THOMAS PAOLICELLI ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before “any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy,” and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.

- 1 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b)(1).
- 2 5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 3
- 4

PARTIES

- 5 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Port Charlotte, Florida, 33954.
- 6 7. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters
8 located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 9

- 10 9. Defendant is a “debt collector” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6),
11 and sought to collect a debt from Plaintiff.

- 12 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors,
13 heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 16 11. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”) is a comprehensive statute,
17 which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties.
18 See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that
19 violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse,
20 and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the
21 FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and
22 misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or
23 unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.
24

1 12. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered
2 contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The
3 substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a “debt collector may not
4 engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any
5 person in connection with the collection of a debt.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a “debt
6 collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection
7 with the collection of any debt.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a “debt collector may not use
8 unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.
9 The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there
10 exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which
11 harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in
12 connection with the collection of a debt.

14 13. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that “[t]here is
15 abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many
16 debt collectors,” which “contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability,
17 to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress
18 additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be
19 inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.

20 14. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt
21 collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to “eliminate abusive debt collection
22 practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt
23 collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action
24 to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

1
2 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**
3

4 15. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer
5 debt from Plaintiff.

6 16. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for
7 personal, family, or household purposes.

8 17. In or around January 6 2010, Plaintiff had an outpatient medical procedure
9 performed at Fawcett Hospital in Port Charlotte, Florida, requiring him to stay in the hospital
10 overnight.

11 18. Plaintiff's sole source of income is social security.

12 19. Prior to undergoing the medical procedure, Plaintiff and his wife, Lesa Paolicelli,
13 consulted with the hospital, as well as the doctors and the billing department, to determine what,
14 if any, expenses Plaintiff would not be covered by Plaintiff's insurance.

15 20. At the time, the hospital informed Plaintiff and his wife that the entire procedure
16 and stay would be covered by his insurance, except for a co-payment of \$290.00, for the
17 overnight hospital stay.

18 21. Plaintiff paid the \$290.00 prior to undergoing the in-patient medical procedure.

19 22. At no time prior to the inpatient medical procedure, or immediately following the
20 inpatient medical procedure, was Plaintiff informed that he owed any other money to the
21 hospital.
22

23 23. On or about March 27, 2011, Defendant sent correspondence to Plaintiff seeking
24 and demanding payment for an alleged debt in the amount of \$617.97 on behalf of Fawcett
25 Memorial Hospital. See Exhibit A, Defendant's March 27, 2011, letter to Plaintiff.

24. The March 27, 2011, letter was Defendant's initial, written correspondence with Plaintiff.

25. In addition to seeking payment from Plaintiff, Defendant also sought Plaintiff's health insurance information, claiming that with Plaintiff's permission, it "will attempt to obtain payment from [his] insurance carrier," despite knowing that Fawcett Hospital had already received payment from Plaintiff's insurance company, which was deceptive and confusing to Plaintiff.

26. Then, on April 4, 2011, Plaintiff sent Defendant a letter disputing the alleged debt. See Exhibit B, Plaintiff's April 4, 2011, letter to Defendant.

27. Plaintiff disputes owing any money to Defendant or to Fawcett Hospital.

28. Plaintiff never agreed to pay Fawcett Hospital anything more than \$290.00.

29. Plaintiff understood that by paying \$290.00, that he would not owe any other money to Fawcett Hospital.

30. Upon information and belief, Defendant was seeking to collect an amount not authorized by the initial agreement.

31. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing, abusive and highly deceptive.

CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

32. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). “Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages.” Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233

1 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status
2 violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).

3 33. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in
4 favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The
5 remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit
6 & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the
7 Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 *et seq.*, is a remedial statute, it should be
8 construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir.
9 2002).

10 34. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated"
11 consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano
12 v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc.,
13 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for
14 the public - that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous,
15 and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and
16 experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less
17 experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it
18 ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection
19 practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of
20 collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

1
2 **COUNT I**
3 **DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE**
4 **FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT**

5
6
7 35. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or
8 more of the following ways:

- 9
10 a. Defendant violated the FDCPA generally;
- 11 b. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by harassing Plaintiff in
12 connection with the collection of an alleged debt;
- 13 c. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, or
14 misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a
15 debt;
- 16 d. Defendant violated §1692e(2) of the FDCPA by falsely representing the
17 character, amount, or legal status of any debt;
- 18 e. Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false representations
19 or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt;
- 20 f. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable
21 means with Plaintiff to collect or attempt to collect a debt;
- 22 g. Defendant violated §1692f(1) of the FDCPA by collecting any added fees,
23 charges and expenses not expressly authorized by an agreement with Plaintiff;
24 and
- 25 h. Defendant acted in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner
 and failed to comply with the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, THOMAS PAOLICELLI, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
 - b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
 - c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
 - d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, THOMAS PAOLICELLI, demands a jury trial in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By: CTK 3654
Craig Thor Kimmel
Attorney ID # 57100
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
30 E. Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002
Phone: (215) 540-8888
Fax: (877) 788-2864
Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com



20034782/3

231 N Kentucky Ave. Suite HBD
Lakeland, FL 33801

March 27, 2011
OFFICE HOURS:
MON - THURS: 8:00AM-8:00PM ET
FRI: 8AM-5:00PM, SAT: 8AM-NOON ET

PHONE: 800-786-9330

823-2166

THOMAS PAOLICELLI

FAWCETT MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
RE: THOMAS PAOLICELLI
CREDITOR REF #: 11426745
BALANCE: \$ 617.97

Dear THOMAS PAOLICELLI,

Your account has been placed with this collection agency for collection by the creditor named above.

If you have Medicare, Medicaid, or other insurance which will pay all or part of the bill, contact us at the number above. With your permission, we will attempt to obtain payment from your insurance carrier. However, you may be responsible for deductibles, copayments or the balance. If you have no insurance coverage or your insurance has already paid its portion of the bill, mail the balance in full.

Returned checks may be subject to the maximum fees allowed by your state.

You may also make payment by visiting us on-line at www.ncofinancial.com. Your unique registration code is f26.16182280.20034782.1018. To receive future notices for the account(s) by e-mail, visit www.ncofinancial.com for details.

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This is a communication from a debt collector.

Calls to or from this company may be monitored or recorded for quality assurance.

**Notice: See Reverse Side For Important Information. See Reverse Side for Federal Validation Notice.
PLEASE RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT (MAKE SURE ADDRESS SHOWS THROUGH WINDOW)**

Creditor Reference #: 11426745, THOMAS PAOLICELLI
NCO Financial Systems, Inc.
231 N Kentucky Ave, Suite HBD
Lakeland, FL 33801
PHONE: 800-786-9330

Our Account # **20034782** Total Balance **\$ 617.97**

Payment Amount

\$

redit Card Number
SA and MasterCard only)

Make Payment To:

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.-ATL
Post Office Box 15609
Wilmington, DE 19850

0233200347829000000036000000000000617976



NCO 3 P
2166

NCO FINANCIAL ;SYSTEMS, INC. -ATL
CREDITOR REF # 11426745

Please refer to ref #11426745 I dispute this claim because I have contacted an Appeal and grievance dept in Calif. On Jul 8/2010, explaining the whole situation also Fawcett Hospital in Port Charlotte, also my medical insurance AArp MedicareComplete from SecureHorizons filled out all kinds of papers regarding the situations, do not get any satisfaction from any of them. The medical plan was that if he was staying in the hospital overnight, the fee was \$290.00, they took my check and said we were fine. I had done my homework with the Doctor, the insurance agent, and the hospital. They then sent me a bill for an additional \$617.97 saying that is what I must pay. I received a letter from you for that amount and I am upset as they were in error in advising me properly when he was admitted. I am not responsible for this debt.

Lesa Paolicelli & Thomas Paolicelli

4/4/11

